The Organization of the Frontal Motor Cortex
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Recent anatomic and functional data radically changed our ideas about the organization of the motor cortex in primates. Contrary to the classic view, the motor cortex does not consist of two main areas, primary and supplementary motor areas, but of a mosaic of cortical areas with specific connections and functional properties.

The caudal sector of the frontal lobe was classically subdivided into two cytoarchitectonic areas, both devoid of granular cells: area 4 and area 6 (Ref. 1; see Fig. 1A). Area 4 and most of area 6 located on the lateral convexity were thought of as a single large functional area: the primary motor cortex or M1. Area 6 located on the mesial cortical surface was considered a second motor area, usually referred to as the supplementary motor area or SMA (Ref. 16; see Fig. 1B).

A recent series of anatomic and functional studies showed that this picture of the agranular frontal cortex (henceforth referred to as the motor cortex) is too simplistic. First, Brodmann’s area 4 is functionally distinct from area 6. Thus the classic view of a large M1 that encompasses both area 4 and lateral area 6 is untenable. Second, area 6 is not homogeneous but rather formed by a multiplicity of anatomic areas. Third, these various motor areas have different afferent and efferent connections and, as shown by physiological evidence, appear to play different functional roles in motor control.

Modern subdivisions of the agranular frontal cortex of the monkey are shown in Fig. 1, C and D. The first (Fig. 1C) is based (mostly) on the functional properties of the various parts of the motor cortex; the second, proposed by Matelli et al. (Refs. 7 and 8; see Fig. 1D) is based on cytoarchitectonic and histochemical data, as well as on the functional and hodological properties of the various sectors of the motor cortex. The nomenclature adopted by Matelli et al. derives from von Economo (2). It indicates, however, the various frontal areas with arabic numbers. Thus each frontal area of the figure is referred to with the letter F (frontal), but, unlike in the von Economo nomenclature, it is further specified by a number.

If one compares this parcellation with the classic map of Brodmann, the following picture emerges. F1 basically corresponds to area 4, whereas, as far as area 6 is concerned, each of its three main sectors (the mesial, the dorsal, and the ventral) is formed by a caudal and a rostral subdivision. Seven areas, therefore, form the agranular frontal cortex. In this review, we will outline some principles for subdividing the various frontal motor areas and will briefly discuss their main functional properties. For more details and a review of the literature, see Rizzolatti et al. (13).

Basic differences and grouping of the various frontal motor areas

General considerations. Modern neuroanatomic techniques showed that each frontal motor area has a specific pattern of anatomic connections. When this pattern is closely examined and the functional properties of the areas connected with one another are considered, it emerges that the various frontal motor areas can be grouped into two major classes: 1) areas that transform sensory information into motor commands and 2) areas that are involved in controlling sensory-motor transformation. This picture is certainly partial and does not cover all of the processes mediated by the frontal motor areas, such as associative motor learning, imitation, or recognition of actions made by other individuals. Yet it gives a schema for understanding the basic cortical motor area organization.

Connections with the spinal cord. Corticospinal projections originate from a large frontoparietal territory, comprising, in the frontal lobe, area 4 and the caudal part of area 6. This frontal territory basically corresponds to Woolsey’s areas M1 and SMA. The origin of the corticospinal tract and its termination into various segments of the spinal cord were recently reanalyzed in great detail by Strick and coworkers (4, 5). These new data, mapped on the subdivision of the motor cortex presented in Fig. 1D, show that the corticospinal tract originates from the caudal motor areas, that is from F1, F2, F3, and parts of F4 and F5. An important difference between corticospinal projections originating from F1 and those arising from the other frontal motor areas consists in their different terminal territories in the spinal cord. Fibers originating from F1 end in the intermediate region of the spinal cord (laminae VI, VII, and VIII) and in lamina IX (the lamina where motor neurons are located), whereas those originating from the other frontal motor areas mostly terminate in the spinal cord intermediate region (see Ref. 11). This different anatomic organization has, obviously, a functional counterpart. The interpretation we propose is that spinal projections from F2, F3, F4, and F5 activate preformed medullar circuits. They determine the global frame of the movement. In contrast, projections originating from F1, by ending directly on motor neurons, break innate synergies and in this way determine the fine morphology of the movement. It is interesting to note that F2, F3, F4, and F5 all send connections to F1 as well. These data indicate that, although F1 is the only motor area provided with a rich direct access to the motor neuron pools, all of the caudal areas are involved in movement execution, both directly and via F1.
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The organization of the rostral frontal motor areas is radi-
cally different. F6 (pre-SMA) and F7 are neither connected
with the spinal cord nor with F1. Their descending input ter-
minates in various parts of the brain stem (see Ref. 11). These
areas cannot, therefore, control movement directly. They
may, obviously, control it indirectly through their subcortical
relays. Classic electrical stimulation studies showed that this
control concerns essentially global axioproximal movements,
such as those responsible for the orienting reaction. How-
ever, the pattern of cortical connections of these areas (see
below), as well as functional data on F6 neurons, suggests
that these areas also have other functions. Particularly inter-
esting among them is that of determining the “when” of a
movement, according to the external contingencies and
internal motivations (see below).

Cortical input to the motor cortex. Cortical afferents to the
frontal motor areas originate from three main regions: the
parietal lobe (S1 and the posterior parietal sectors), the pre-
frontal lobe, and the cingulate cortex. Recent functional
evidence indicates that, similar to the motor cortex, the pos-
terior parietal cortex is also formed by a multiplicity of inde-
pendent areas, each of which appears to deal with specific
aspects of sensory information and with specific effectors
(Fig. 2). Some of these areas are essentially linked to somatosensory modality (e.g., PE), others to visual modality
(e.g., lateral intraparietal area LIP), and others to both (e.g.,
PF). Anatomic evidence indicates that the parietofrontal
connections are highly specific. Each frontal motor area is
the target of different sets of parietal areas and, typically,
receives strong afferents from only one of them. In turn, most
of the parietal areas tend to project massively to a single
motor area. Therefore, the parietofrontal connections form a
series of largely segregated anatomic circuits. The functional
correlate of this anatomic organization is that each of these
circuits appears to be dedicated to a particular sensory-
motor transformation, the essence of which is the transfor-
mation of a description of the stimuli in sensory terms into
their description in motor terms (12, 13). It is important to
stress that neural activity associated with motor actions has
also been observed in many posterior parietal areas. Thus if
one defines as motor neurons a neuron the activity of which
 correlates with an action, there is no doubt that the posterior
parietal cortex should be considered a part of the motor sys-
tem. On the basis of these and similar considerations, it was
recently proposed that the parietofrontal circuits, and not
frontal motor areas in isolation, should be considered the
functional units of the cortical motor system (13).

Our knowledge of the organization of prefrontal and cin-
gulate areas is much less rich and detailed than that of the
parietal cortex. It is, however, generally assumed that these

FIGURE 1. Comparative view of some of the proposed subdivisions of the agranular frontal cortex of the monkey. A: cytoarchitectonic map of Brodmann (1);
B: functional map of Woolsey et al. (16); C: modern, functional subdivision; D: histochemical and cytoarchitectonic map of Matelli et al. (7, 8). AI, inferior arcu-
ate sulcus; AS, superior arcuate sulcus; C, central sulcus; Cg, cingulate sulcus; F1–F7, agranular frontal areas; IP, intraparietal sulcus; L, lateral fissure; M1, primary
motor cortex; P, principal sulcus; PMdc, dorsal premotor cortex, caudal; PMdr, dorsal premotor cortex, rostral; PMv, ventral premotor cortex; SMA, supplementary
motor area; ST, superior temporal sulcus.
regions are not involved in the analysis of sensory stimuli but rather play a role in “higher-order” functions such as “working memory,” temporal planning of actions, and motivation.

If one now compares the cortical connections of the frontal motor areas giving origin to the corticospinal tract (F2, F3, F4, and F5) with those that do not (F6 and F7), it appears that the former are richly connected with the parietal lobe, whereas the latter are linked with the prefrontal and cingulate cortices. The parietal afferents to F6 and F7 correspond roughly to 1 and 10%, respectively, of their total cortical input, in contrast to ~30 and 20% to F2 and F3. Table 1 summarizes the sources of predominant and additional cortical afferents to each motor area. The intrinsic motor area connections are not included.

From these merely anatomic considerations, it is clear that the functions of the caudal and rostral frontal motor areas ought to be different. Caudal areas elaborate, together with parietal areas, sensory information and transform it into a motor representation. Rostral areas convey inputs to the caudal ones concerning motivation, long term plans, and memory of past actions. On the basis of this information, the motor representations are either implemented or remain as potential actions that may be either canceled or implemented later, when other contingencies allow it. With this general schema in mind, in the following sections we will briefly review the available evidence on the functions of the various frontal motor areas.

**Mesial area 6**

Mesial area 6 is cytoarchitectonically formed by two areas: F3 or SMA proper and F6 or pre-SMA (Fig. 1, C and D). Classically, mesial area 6 was considered to be coextensive with the so-called SMA, defined by Woolsey with the use of gross electric stimulation (Fig. 1B). Its functions were considered to be essentially executive. The most accepted view was that SMA collaborated with the primary motor cortex in movement execution, especially for proximal and axial movements. Later, experiments in monkeys and humans gave conflicting results on the possible role of SMA.
in motor control. Some data appeared to confirm an executive role of SMA in movement, whereas others favored what was called a “supramotor” role of SMA, that is a role in those processes that precede the actual movement execution. The discovery that, in monkeys, mesial area 6 is composed of two distinct areas (Ref. 6; see also Ref. 14) was crucial in reconciling these apparently conflicting results.

Experiments in which mesial area 6 of the monkey was systematically explored with intracortical microstimulation showed that F3 is electrically excitable with low-intensity currents and contains a complete body movement representation. Evoked movements mainly involved proximal and axial muscles and, typically, a combination of different joints, even at the minimal effective current intensity. Distal movements, when evoked, were often observed in combination with the proximal ones. Single unit recordings showed that F3 neurons frequently have somatosensory responses. When neurons were studied during active movements, it was found that the relation between the discharge and the movement varied from one neuron to another, but in most neurons it was time-locked with the movement onset (movement-related activity). Hodological studies demonstrated that F3 is the source of dense, topographically organized corticospinal projections. Connections with other motor areas are also topographically organized and link F3 with F1 and with areas F2, F4, and F5. F3 is also strongly connected with a caudal and dorsal part of area 24. This subsector of area 24, termed 24d, roughly corresponds to what is also referred to as the caudal cingulate motor area and, like F3, is characterized by dense corticospinal projections and connections with F1. Finally, F3 is the target of strong parietal afferents mostly originating from area PEci, located in the caudalmost part of the cingulate sulcus and also referred to as the supplementary sensory area, and from areas SI and SII.

In contrast to F3, F6 is weakly excitable with intracortical microstimulation. Motor responses can be evoked from it only with rather high current intensities, and, typically, they consist of slow and complex movements restricted to the arm. Single-neuron recordings showed that in F6, unlike F3, visual responses are common, whereas the somatosensory ones are rare. When tested during active arm movements, F6 neurons show a long leading activity during preparation for movement, and some of them fire in relation to a redirection of an arm movement to a direction opposite to one previously rewarded (shift-related activity). Other experiments demonstrated that F6 neurons might be excited or inhibited when objects, moved toward the animal, enter into its reaching distance and, therefore, appear to be modulated by the possibility of grasping an object. F6 is the source of a modest corticospinal projection, has no direct connection with F1, and is connected with all of the caudal and rostral premotor areas. Parietal afferents to F6 are few and originate from visual areas of the inferior parietal lobe. On the contrary, F6 is a target of strong afferents originating from both the dorsal and ventral parts of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and is the only motor area target of rich afferents from the cingulate area 24c and from the cingulate gyrus.

Altogether, these data indicate that of the two mesial areas, only F3 has characteristics similar to those of SMA as classically defined. It was suggested that F3 may play an important role in the control of posture and, in particular, in postural adjustments preceding voluntary movements. In contrast, F6 appears to be a nodal point in transmitting limbic and prefrontal information to other motor areas. Functionally, F6 appears to be related to selection and preparation of movement and, in particular, to the control of actions in terms of decision of when to start a movement, according to external contingencies and motivation. For these properties, it appears to play an important role in the organization of complex movement sequences.

There is clear evidence that, as in the monkey classical SMA, the human mesial area 6 is also formed by two distinct areas. They are usually referred to as SMA proper and pre-SMA. A large body of data, coming from brain imaging studies, indicates that although the SMA proper is activated during the execution of a variety of motor tasks, including single body part movements, the pre-SMA requires complex motor tasks to be activated (for a review of the literature, see Ref. 10). The human SMA proper and pre-SMA are considered the homologues of monkey F3 and F6, respectively.

**TABLE 1. Predominant and additional cortical connections of the motor areas in the macaque monkey**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motor Areas</th>
<th>Predominant Connections</th>
<th>Additional Connections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>PEC, PEip, MIP</td>
<td>V6A, PFG, CGp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>PEci, 24d</td>
<td>PE, SII, SI, PFG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>VIP</td>
<td>PE, PEip, SII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>AIP, PF</td>
<td>PFG, SII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>DLPF, 24c</td>
<td>PFG, PG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7 (SEF)</td>
<td>DLPF</td>
<td>PGm, V6A, CGp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEF</td>
<td>DLPF, LIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the nomenclature of motor, posterior parietal, and cingulate areas, see Ref. 13 and Fig. 2.
properties of F2 neurons were mostly studied by employing visually instructed delay motor tasks. In these tasks, a motor response (very often an arm movement) is performed with a delay after the appearance of a visual stimulus that instructs the monkey on the particular movement requested. Some F2 neurons discharge in association with the movement onset (movement-related activity); others become active during the delay period when the monkey is waiting for the go signal (set-related activity). Finally, others discharge at the appearance of the instructing stimulus (signal-related activity). These data indicate that F2 is not simply involved in motor execution and definition as well as visual information. F7 could be involved in coding object locations in space for orienting and coordinated arm-body movements.

Ventral area 6

Ventral area 6, frequently referred to as ventral premotor cortex (PMv), is formed by two areas: F4 and F5 (Fig. 1D). F4 is electrically excitable. It contains a representation of arm, neck, and face movements. Like F2, it was considered to be part of Woolsey's M1. Some F4 neurons are active in association with simple movements; others discharge during the execution of specific actions, such as movements directed toward the mouth, the body, or toward particular space locations. Almost all F4 neurons are active in response to sensory stimulation. Half of them respond to somatosensory stimuli, whereas the other half are bimodal, responding to both visual and somatosensory stimuli. The bimodal neurons have tactile receptive fields (RFs) located on the face, the body, or the arms. The visual RFs are three-dimensional, limited in depth to the peripersonal space, and located around the tactile RFs. Visual responses are very often selective for stimuli moving toward the tactile RFs. For the majority of F4 neurons, the spatial location of the visual RFs does not change with eye movement, remaining anchored to the tactile RFs. These findings indicate that the visual RFs of F4 neurons code space using an egocentric, body part-centered frame of reference. This system is particularly suitable for organizing arm and head movements in space. F4 is the source of corticospinal projections and is directly connected with F1. Nonmotor connections are almost exclusively related to the posterior parietal cortex. In particular, F4 is a major target of an area located along the fundus of the intraparietal sulcus and called the ventral intraparietal (VIP) area. Area VIP shares many functional properties with F4. Also in this area there are bimodal, visual, and tactile neurons, most of them centered on the face and, as in F4, visual RFs are in register with the tactile ones and limited to the peripersonal space. In at least one-third of these neurons, visual RFs appear to be coded in egocentric coordinates.

F5 is electrically excitable and contains a movement representation of the hand and the mouth. F5 neurons typically code goal-directed motor acts, such as grasping, holding, tearing, or manipulating objects. Their firing is correlated with the action execution and not with the individual movements forming it. Most of the “grasping neurons” code specific types of hand prehension, such as precision grip (thumb and index finger opposition), whole hand prehension, and finger prehension. A considerable part of F5 neurons respond to visual stimuli. Visually responsive F5 neurons are subdivided into two classes. Neurons of the first class (canonical neurons) discharge to the presentation of three-dimensional objects even when no action on the object is requested. These responses are most likely to be the result of a “pragmatic” representation of the object, in which the object's
intrinsc properties (size, shape, and orientation) are coded to select the most appropriate way to grasp it. Visually responsive neurons of the second class (mirror neurons), although similar in their motor properties to the canonical neurons, have markedly different visual properties. They are not activated by simple observation of objects but discharge selectively when the monkey observes another individual performing an action similar to that encoded by the neuron (3). These findings suggest an important cognitive role for the neuron (3). These findings suggest an important cognitive role for the neuron (3).

Conclusions

Until recently, the motor cortex was considered to play essentially an executive role in motor control. Its role was that of a passive executor of motor commands that originate from associational areas of the parietal and frontal cortices. These last areas were the truly responsible for higher-order cognitive functions. The data here reviewed provide a new picture of the way in which voluntary movement is controlled by the cortical motor system. The motor cortex is formed by a mosaic of independent motor areas characterized by unique sets of connections with parietal, prefrontal, and cingulate cortices and involved in specific aspects of motor planning and execution. In this view, sensory-motor transformations are the result of a strict cooperation between parietal and motor areas, linked by strong, reciprocal connections and forming largely segregated circuits. Each of these circuits is dedicated to a specific aspect of sensorimotor transformation, in which motor and sensory information are fully integrated at both the parietal and motor levels and may then be considered the functional unit of the cortical motor system. Furthermore, some of the motor areas, by virtue of specific connections with prefrontal and cingulate areas, are involved in higher-order aspects of motor control related to motivation, memory, and temporal planning of motor behavior as well as in “cognitive” functions.
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